

REMARKS

In accordance with the foregoing, the specification and claims 1, 5, 10 and 17 have been cancelled and claims 2, 6, 7, 8, 11 and 12 have been amended. Claims 18-31 have been allowed. Claims 1-31 are pending and under consideration.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102:

Claims 1, 5, 7, 8, 10 and 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lambert (WO 00/60397). Applicants traverse this rejection and respectfully request reconsideration.

Claims 1, 5 , 10 and 17 are cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer.

Claims 1 and 5 have been cancelled and the features thereof have been incorporated into independent claim 6.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that claim 6 patentably distinguishes over the cited art.

In addition, for similar rationale, it is respectfully submitted that claim 2 depending from independent claim 1 is also in proper condition for allowance.

Cancelled claim 1 has been incorporated into new independent claim 2.

Regarding claim 7, the outstanding Office Action sets forth Lambert "implicitly discloses a first driving unit providing a driving force to scroll light exiting the first cylindrical array."

Claim 7 has been amended to recite a cylindrical array lens driving method in detail which does not been disclosed in Lambert and incorporate features of cancelled claim 1.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that claim 7 to patentably distinguish over Lambert.

In addition, for similar rationale, it is respectfully submitted that claims 8 and 9 depending from independent claim 7 are also in proper condition for allowance.

Regarding claim11, independent claim 11 incorporates the features of cancelled claims 1 and 10.

Similarly, independent claim 12 incorporates the subject matter of original claim 1.

Objections to Claims:

page 3 of the Office Action, claims 2-4, 6, and 11-16 are objected to as being dependent

upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As mentioned above, reconsideration of claims 2-4, 6, and 11-16 is respectfully requested.

Allowed claims:

Claims 18-31 have been allowed.

Conclusion:

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: 4/9/5

By: 

J. Randall Beckers
Registration No. 30,358

1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501